





# BLINDED

# by

# SCIENTISM 3

# Random Accident vs Intelligent Design



BIOLOGY is the STUDY of COMPLICATED THINGS that GIVE the *APPEARANCE* of HAVING BEEN DESIGNED for a PURPOSE” – Richard Dawkins





FUNDAMENTALISM and “BLIND FAITH”

FAITH is NOT a LEAP in the DARK.  
IT'S the EXACT OPPOSITE.  
IT'S a COMMITMENT BASED ON EVIDENCE.  
IT'S IR-RATIONAL to REDUCE ALL FAITH to  
BLIND FAITH and THEN SUBJECT it to RIDICULE.  
THAT PROVIDES a VERY  
ANTI-INTELLECTUAL and CONVENIENT WAY of  
AVOIDING INTELLIGENT DISCUSSION.

- John Lennox



#1) IF LABORATORY SCIENCE CANNOT ESTABLISH a \_\_\_\_\_ and IF the FOSSIL RECORD CANNOT \_\_\_\_\_ COMMON ANCESTORS of MISSING LINKS ....



- A) METHOD ... HYPOTHESIZE
- B) MECHANISM ... FIND
- C) PURPOSE ... COMPARE


BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design





#3) DARWINISTS SUPPRESS that POSSIBILITY  
by INVOKING the \_\_\_\_\_ BETWEEN the  
FACT of EVOLUTION and DARWIN'S  
PARTICULAR THEORY

- A) DISCREPANCY
- B) DISTINCTION
- C) PHILOSOPHY



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#4) SCIENTISM for YOU is WHERE YOU SWEEP AWAY ALL the \_\_\_\_\_ of the WORLD, ALL the \_\_\_\_\_ to YOUR INTELLIGENCE. YOU SIMPLY TURN YOUR MIND OFF and SAY 'SCIENTISM' DID IT. – Carl Sagan



A) MYSTERIES ... CHALLENGES

B) CONTROVERSIES ... CERTAINTIES

C) CLARITIES ... CONFUSIONS

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design





#6) DAWKINS DENIES “INTELLIGENT DESIGN” BECAUSE IT IS “\_\_\_\_\_” –  
the LIKELIHOOD of GOD EXISTING is ABOUT the SAME as FAIRIES, ELVES and OTHER FANTASTICAL CREATURES

- A) IL-LOGICAL
- B) SIMPLISTIC
- C) STUPID




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#7) “FAITH is NOT a LEAP in the DARK. IT’s the EXACT OPPOSITE. IT’s a COMMITMENT BASED ON EVIDENCE. IT’s IR-RATIONAL to REDUCE ALL FAITH to BLIND FAITH and THEN SUBJECT it to RIDICULE.

THAT PROVIDES a VERY ANTI-INTELLECTUAL and CONVENIENT WAY of \_\_\_\_\_ INTELLIGENT DISCUSSION.”

- John Lennox

- A) AVOIDING
- B) ASSISTING
- C) ABETTING



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #8) WHAT are the ODDS of CREATION by RANDOM CHANCE

- A) LOGICAL
- B) MATHEMATICAL
- C) SYMPTOMATIC



**1: 10<sup>40,000</sup>**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #9) LAWS in \_\_\_\_\_ PRODUCE SIMPLE REPETITIVE (never changing) ORDER

- A) SCIENCE
- B) ASTRONOMY
- C) NATURE




Electrostatics	Gravity
Force: $F_e = \frac{kq_1q_2}{r^2}$	Force: $F_g = \frac{Gm_1m_2}{r^2}$
Field Strength: $E = \frac{F_e}{q}$	Field Strength: $g = \frac{F_g}{m}$
Field Strength: $E = \frac{kq}{r^2}$	Field Strength: $g = \frac{Gm}{r^2}$
Electrostatic Constant: $k = 8.99 \cdot 10^9 \frac{N \cdot m^2}{C^2}$	Gravitational Constant: $G = 6.67 \cdot 10^{-11} \frac{N \cdot m^2}{kg^2}$
Charge Units: Coulombs	Mass Units: kilograms

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design




# CHANCE + LAWS = CREATION ?



1 : 10<sup>40,000</sup>

#10) WHEN \_\_\_\_\_ LAW and CHANCE  
WORK AGAINST EACH OTHER to  
PREVENT the EMERGENCE of a  
MEANINGFUL SEQUENCE

- A) CONFIRMED
- B) COMBINED
- C) CONFIRMED




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#12) "IN ALL HUMAN \_\_\_\_\_ an  
ENCYCLOPEDIA or COMPUTER  
PROGRAM are NECESSARILY PRODUCTS  
of INTELLIGENT DESIGN." – Phillip E. Johnson

- A) ECONOMICS
- B) EXPERIENCE
- C) PHILOSOPHY




1: 10<sup>40,000</sup>

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#13) ORGANS REQUIRE the INTRICATE COMBINATION of COMPLEX PARTS to PERFORM RANDOM MUTATION of NOT JUST ONE PART BUT, BUT \_\_\_\_\_ PARTS and SYSTEMS

- A) IR-RELEVANT
- B) INDEPENDENT
- C) INTER-RELATED



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#14) WHAT are the MATHEMATICAL  
                     of a TORNADO, BLOWING  
THROUGH a JUNK YARD, PRODUCING a  
JUMBO JET?

- A) THEORIES
- B) CERTAINTIES
- C) ODDS



1: 10<sup>40,000</sup>

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #15) HAS “SCIENCE” *EXPLAINED* the \_\_\_\_\_ of the UNIVERSE – HOW or WHY IT is HERE?


- A) EMERGENCE
- B) OBSERVATIONS
- C) LIKELIHOOD



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#16) HAVE the “SCIENCES” *EXPLAINED*  
*WHY* the UNIVERSE *SEEMS* to be  
FINE-TUNED (balanced on a razor’s edge)  
to ALLOW FOR the \_\_\_\_\_ of ALL

- A) APPEARANCE
- B) AGREEMENT
- C) EXISTENCE




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design




# #17) HOW \_\_\_\_\_ DID WE GET FROM IN-ANIMATE to ANIMATE LIFE?

- A) THEORETICALLY
- B) EXACTLY
- C) EXPERIENTIALLY




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #18) SCIENTISM is a CLOSET PHILOSOPHY of MATERIALISM that is a \_\_\_\_\_ of INTELLECTUAL NIHILISM (nowhere)



- A) CONFIRMATION
- B) TUNNEL
- C) RAINBOW



BLINDED by SCIENTISM 3  
Random Accident vs Intelligent Design

#19) MATERIALISM is a SINGLE-STORIED  
WORLD WHERE THERE is NO \_\_\_\_\_  
(a “CLOSED” UNIVERSE)

- A) TRANSCENDENCE
- B) CONFIRMATION
- C) SENSE




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #20) The SCIENTIFIC WORLD VIEW NECESSARILY DEAD ENDS in QUESTIONS THAT HAVE NO \_\_\_\_\_



- A) POINT
- B) RELEVANCE
- C) ANSWER



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#21) "The HORRID \_\_\_\_\_ ALWAYS  
ARISES WHETHER the CONVICTIONS of  
MAN'S MINDS are of ANY VALUE or  
AT ALL TRUSTWORTHY." – Charles Darwin

- A) DOUBT
- B) CERTAINTY
- C) POSSIBILITY



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#22) WOULD ANYONE \_\_\_\_\_ the  
CONVICTION of a MONKEY'S MIND, *IF*  
THERE ARE ANY CONVICTIONS in SUCH  
a MIND – Charles Darwin



- A) TRICK
- B) TEST
- C) TRUST



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#23) "IF MY MIND is NO MORE THAN a SUPERIOR MONKEY, I CANNOT EVEN BE SURE THAT MY OWN THEORY of MY OWN \_\_\_\_\_ CAN BE TRUSTED." – James Sire

- A) REALITY
- B) ORIGIN
- C) PHILOSOPHY



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#24) IF DARWIN'S NATURALISM is TRUE,  
THERE is NO WAY of EVEN  
ESTABLISHING ITS CREDIBILITY, LET  
ALONE PROVING IT.  
CONFIDENCE in \_\_\_\_\_ is RULED OUT.


- A) PHILOSOPHY
- B) LOGIC
- C) RELIGION



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#25) CAN A BRAIN, a DEVICE that CAME to be THROUGH NATURAL SELECTION and CHANCED MUTATIONS ... ACTUALLY *KNOW* a PROPOSITION to be \_\_\_\_\_.

- A) POSSIBLE
- B) FALSE
- C) TRUE




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#26)IF OUR \_\_\_\_\_ CAME ABOUT  
THROUGH a RANDOM PROCESS of  
NOTHINGNESS, WHY SHOULD WE  
TRUST IT?

A)BRAINS

B)SENSES

C)FEARS



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#27) WE THUS END in an \_\_\_\_\_  
PARADOX – NATURALISTS FIND THEY  
CAN PLACE NO CONFIDENCE IN THEIR  
HUMAN ABILITY to “KNOW”


- A) ICONIC
- B) IRONIC
- C) IL-LOGICAL



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

28) NATURALISM PLACES HUMANITY in a BOX  
.. BUT FOR US TO HAVE ANY CONFIDENCE to  
KNOW WE ARE in a BOX is TRUE, WE NEED to  
STAND \_\_\_\_\_ the BOX to PROVIDE US  
THAT INFORMATION

- A) OUTSIDE
- B) INSIDE
- C) IGNORING



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#29) HOW DO WE \_\_\_\_\_ THAT OUR  
INNER SUBJECTIVE THOUGHTS are REAL  
and TRUE, of “OBJECTIVE” VALUE”?

- A) KNOW
- B) HYPOTHESIZE
- C) THEORIZE



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#30) \_\_\_\_\_ CLAIM REASONED

“OBJECTIVITY” to SUPPORT a

“SUBJECTIVE” (opinion) WORLDVIEW

THEY CLAIM is OBJECTIVE




- A) NATURALISTS
- B) THEISTS (God Believers)
- C) AGNOSTICS (not know-ers)

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#31) NATURALISTS TELL US WITH OBJECTIVE and UNIVERSAL \_\_\_\_\_ THAT ONLY "INSIDE" the SUBJECTIVE and COSMOLIGICAL "BOX" is REAL "CLOSET" OBJECTIVITY ("\_\_\_\_\_")

- A) DISDAIN ... OPINION
- B) CERTAINTY ... TRUTH
- C) CYNICISM ...



BLINDED by SCIENTISM 3  
Random Accident vs Intelligent Design




#32) A NEW \_\_\_\_\_ (fundamentalism)  
of "SCIENCE" is NOT DIRECTLY EMPIRICAL,  
OBSERVABLE and/or TESTABLE

A) RELIGION

B) MYTHOLOGY


C) BELIEF



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#33) "SCIENCE" is OBSERVABLE and TESTABLE " \_\_\_\_\_ " —  
 "SCIENTISM" is CLOSET, *HIDDEN* PHILOSOPHY (meta-physics)

- A) PHILOSOPHY
- B) PHYSICS
- C) SPECULATIONS



BLINDED by  
 SCIENTISM 3  
 Random  
 Accident  
 vs Intelligent  
 Design



#35) CAN MATERIALISTS EMPIRICALLY \_\_\_\_\_  
the UNIVERSE is “CLOSED”?:  
MATERIALIST CLAIMS GO FROM PHYSICS to  
META-PHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY

- A) ARGUE
- B) PROVE
- C) DEBATE



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #36) "SCIENCE" BECOMES "SCIENTISM" – A NEW MYTHOLOGY and/or \_\_\_\_\_

- A) OPINION
- B) BANDWAGON
- C) FUNDAMENTALism




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#37) The \_\_\_\_\_ QUESTION is at the HEART of the QUESTION BETWEEN the TRADITIONAL and MODERN WORLDVIEWS – Huston Smith

- A) REALITY
- B) SOCIOLOGICAL
- C) PSYCHOLOGY



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#38) IN a "CLOSED" UNIVERSE –  
NATURE \_\_\_\_\_ ITSELF –  
NOTHING is OUTSIDE the BOX of  
REALITY, SO CANNOT BE TRUE




- A) CANCELS
- B) EXPLAINS
- C) CONTRADICTS



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#39) BUT HOW DO WE \_\_\_\_\_ THIS  
WORLDVIEW of a SELF-CONTAINED  
“CLOSED BOX COMPRISED ONLY of  
ONLY MATTER is \_\_\_\_\_



- A) ACKNOWLEDGE ... REAL
- B) KNOW ... TRUE
- C) SPECULATE ... RELEVANT

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#40) CAN \_\_\_\_\_ DERIVE from \_\_\_\_\_ ?

CAN a STREAM RUN HIGHER THAN ITS SOURCE? LIFE from NON-LIFE; SENTIENCE from NON-SENTIENCE; INTELLIGENCE from what LACKS IT.



A) SOMETHING ... NOTHING

B) NOTHING ... NOTHING

C) BELIEF ... DIS-BELIEF


BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#41) "A THEISTIC WORLDVIEW is SO WIDESPREAD, IT is the GREATEST \_\_\_\_\_ EVER to APPEAR in HISTORY ... OR the MOST \_\_\_\_\_ REFLECTION of REALITY to HAVE APPEARED" – Ken Wilbur

A) MISTAKE ... FLAWED

B) ASSUMPTION ... MISGUIDED

C) ERROR ... ACCURATE



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#42) "SCIENCE" ONLY UNDERSTANDS  
measured MATERIAL CAUSES –  
*WHATEVER* CANNOT BE REDUCED to  
MATERIAL CAUSES MUST BE \_\_\_\_\_.

- A) ELIMINATED
- B) IGNORED
- C) MANIPULATED



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design





#45) MORE and MORE "EXPERTS" HAVE  
\_\_\_\_\_ DARWINISM ...

ON STRICTLY \_\_\_\_\_ GOUNDS ...

- Wolfgang Smith


- A) EMBRACED ... RELIGIOUS
- B) EMBRACED ... LOGICAL
- C) ABANDONED ... SCIENTIFIC

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

BIOLOGY is the STUDY of  
*COMPLICATED* THINGS that GIVE  
the APPEARANCE of HAVING  
BEEN *DESIGNED* for a PURPOSE”

– Richard Dawkins

HOW DOES DAWKINS KNOW IT is  
JUST “APPEARANCE”  
IS APPEARANCE “REALITY”?



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



RICHARD DAWKINS DENIES “INTELLIGENT DESIGN”  
BECAUSE IT IS “STUPID” – THE LIKELIHOOD OF GOD  
EXISTING IS ABOUT THE SAME AS  
FAIRIES, ELVES AND OTHER FANTASTICAL CREATURES



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

FAITH is NOT a LEAP in the DARK.  
IT's the EXACT OPPOSITE. IT's a  
COMMITMENT BASED ON EVIDENCE.  
IT's IR-RATIONAL to REDUCE ALL FAITH to  
BLIND FAITH and THEN SUBJECT it to  
RIDICULE. THAT PROVIDES a VERY ANTI-  
INTELLECTUAL and CONVENIENT WAY of  
AVOIDING INTELLIGENT DISCUSSION. -  
John Lennox

SCIENTISM for YOU is WHERE YOU  
SWEEP AWAY ALL the MYSTERIES of the  
WORLD, ALL the CHALLENGES to YOUR  
INTELLIGENCE.

YOU SIMPLY TURN YOUR MIND OFF  
and SAY 'SCIENTISM' DID IT.

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

IF LABORATORY SCIENCE CANNOT  
ESTABLISH a \_\_\_\_\_ and IF  
the FOSSIL RECORD CANNOT \_\_\_\_\_  
COMMON ANCESTORS of  
MISSING LINKS ....  
THEN DARWINISM \_\_\_\_\_  
as an EMPIRICAL THEORY ...  
- Phillip E. Johnson



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# The END

CONTINUE

NEXT

TO


ANSWER

KEY

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



**ANSWER  
KEY**






BLINDED


by

SCIENTISM 3

Random Accident  
vs Intelligent Design



ANSWER  
KEY



BIOLOGY is the STUDY of COMPLICATED THINGS that GIVE the *APPEARANCE* of HAVING BEEN DESIGNED for a PURPOSE” – Richard Dawkins





FUNDAMENTALISM and “BLIND FAITH”

FAITH is NOT a LEAP in the DARK.  
IT'S the EXACT OPPOSITE.  
IT'S a COMMITMENT BASED ON EVIDENCE.  
IT'S IR-RATIONAL to REDUCE ALL FAITH to  
BLIND FAITH and THEN SUBJECT it to RIDICULE.  
THAT PROVIDES a VERY  
ANTI-INTELLECTUAL and CONVENIENT WAY of  
AVOIDING INTELLIGENT DISCUSSION.

- John Lennox



#1) IF LABORATORY SCIENCE CANNOT ESTABLISH a \_\_\_\_\_ and IF the FOSSIL RECORD CANNOT \_\_\_\_\_ COMMON ANCESTORS of MISSING LINKS ....



A) METHOD ...HYPOTHESIZE


**B) MECHANISM ... FIND**

C) PURPOSE ... COMPARE

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM<sup>3</sup>  
Fundm  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design  
**ANSWER**  
**KEY**




# #2)... THEN DARWINISM as an EMPIRICAL THEORY ....



- A) SUCCEEDS
- B) SATISFIES
- C) FAILS


**ANSWER  
KEY**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#3) DARWINISTS SUPPRESS that POSSIBILITY  
by INVOKING the \_\_\_\_\_ BETWEEN the  
FACT of EVOLUTION and DARWIN'S  
PARTICULAR THEORY

- A) DISCREPANCY
- B) DISTINCTION
- C) PHILOSOPHY



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#4) SCIENTISM for YOU is WHERE YOU SWEEP AWAY ALL the \_\_\_\_\_ of the WORLD, ALL the \_\_\_\_\_ to YOUR INTELLIGENCE. YOU SIMPLY TURN YOUR MIND OFF and SAY 'SCIENTISM' DID IT. – Carl Sagan



**A) MYSTERIES ... CHALLENGES**

**B) CONTROVERSIES ... CERTAINITIES**

**C) CLARITIES ... CONFUSIONS**

**ANSWER**  
**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM<sup>3</sup>  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#5) BIOLOGY is the STUDY of  
           THINGS that GIVE the  
APPEARANCE of HAVING BEEN  
DESIGNED for a PURPOSE” – Richard Dawkins

• A) COMPLICATED

• B) CONTROVERSIAL

• C) DEBATABLE



**ANSWER  
KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design


#6) DAWKINS DENIES “INTELLIGENT DESIGN” BECAUSE IT IS “\_\_\_\_\_” –  
the LIKELIHOOD of GOD EXISTING is ABOUT the SAME as FAIRIES, ELVES and OTHER FANTASTICAL CREATURES

A) IL-LOGICAL

B) SIMPLISTIC

C) STUPID

**ANSWER  
KEY**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design




#7) "FAITH is NOT a LEAP in the DARK. IT's the EXACT OPPOSITE. IT's a COMMITMENT BASED ON EVIDENCE. IT's IR-RATIONAL to REDUCE ALL FAITH to BLIND FAITH and THEN SUBJECT it to RIDICULE.

THAT PROVIDES a VERY ANTI-INTELLECTUAL and CONVENIENT WAY of \_\_\_\_\_ INTELLIGENT DISCUSSION."

- John Lennox

- **A) AVOIDING**
- B) ASSISTING
- C) ABETTING




**ANSWER**  
**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



# #8) WHAT are the ODDS of CREATION by RANDOM CHANCE

- A) LOGICAL
- B) MATHEMATICAL
- C) SYMPTOMATIC



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #9) LAWS in \_\_\_\_\_ PRODUCE SIMPLE REPETITIVE (never changing) ORDER

- A) SCIENCE
- B) ASTRONOMY
- C) NATURE




**ANSWER  
KEY**

Electrostatics	Gravity
Force: $F = \frac{kq_1q_2}{r^2}$	Force: $F_g = \frac{Gm_1m_2}{r^2}$
Field Strength: $E = \frac{F}{q}$	Field Strength: $g = \frac{F_g}{m}$
Field Strength: $E = \frac{kq}{r^2}$	Field Strength: $g = \frac{Gm}{r^2}$
Electrostatic Constant: $k = 8.99 \times 10^9 \frac{N \cdot m^2}{C^2}$	Gravitational Constant: $G = 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \frac{N \cdot m^2}{kg^2}$
Charge Units: Coulombs	Mass Units: kilograms

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# CHANCE + LAWS = CREATION ?



1 : 10<sup>40,000</sup>


#10) WHEN \_\_\_\_\_ LAW and CHANCE  
WORK AGAINST EACH OTHER to  
PREVENT the EMERGENCE of a  
MEANINGFUL SEQUENCE

A) CONFIRMED

**B) COMBINED**

C) CONFIRMED


**ANSWER  
KEY**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#11) HOW DOES the DIS-ORDERED \_\_\_\_\_ of RANDOM MUTUTATION *AND/OR* the ORDERED \_\_\_\_\_ of NATURAL LAW ACCOUNT FOR the *CREATION* of COMPLEX LIFE?



- A) CONFUSION ... CLARITY
- **B) CHAOS ... TYRANNY**
- C) CONTROVERSY ...



**ANSWER  
KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#12) "IN ALL HUMAN \_\_\_\_\_ an  
ENCYCLOPEDIA or COMPUTER  
PROGRAM are NECESSARILY PRODUCTS  
of INTELLIGENT DESIGN." – Phillip E. Johnson

A) ECONOMICS

**B) EXPERIENCE**

C) PHILOSOPHY

**ANSWER  
KEY**

**1: 10<sup>40,000</sup>**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design




#13) ORGANS REQUIRE the INTRICATE COMBINATION of COMPLEX PARTS to PERFORM RANDOM MUTATION of NOT JUST ONE PART BUT, BUT \_\_\_\_\_ PARTS and SYSTEMS

A) IR-RELEVANT

B) INDEPENDENT

**C) INTER-RELATED**



**ANSWER  
KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#14) WHAT are the MATHEMATICAL  
                     of a TORNADO, BLOWING  
THROUGH a JUNK YARD, PRODUCING a  
JUMBO JET?

A) THEORIES

B) CERTAINTIES

C) ODDS



**ANSWER**  
**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

1: 10<sup>40,000</sup>

#15) HAS “SCIENCE” *EXPLAINED* the  
\_\_\_\_\_ of the UNIVERSE –  
HOW or WHY IT is HERE?

**ANSWER KEY**

**A) EMERGENCE**

**B) OBSERVATIONS**

**C) LIKELIHOOD**




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#16) HAVE the “SCIENCES” *EXPLAINED*  
*WHY* the UNIVERSE *SEEMS* to be  
FINE-TUNED (balanced on a razor’s edge)  
to ALLOW FOR the \_\_\_\_\_ of ALL

A) APPEARANCE

B) AGREEMENT

C) EXISTENCE



**ANSWER  
KEY**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#17) HOW \_\_\_\_\_ DID  
WE GET FROM IN-ANIMATE to  
ANIMATE LIFE?

A) THEORETICALLY

**B) EXACTLY**


C) EXPERIENTIALLY



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design




#18) SCIENTISM is a CLOSET  
PHILOSOPHY of MATERIALISM  
that is a \_\_\_\_\_ of  
INTELLECTUAL NIHILISM (nowhere)



A) CONFIRMATION

**B) TUNNEL**

C) RAINBOW



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#19) MATERIALISM is a SINGLE-STORIED  
WORLD WHERE THERE is NO \_\_\_\_\_  
(a “CLOSED” UNIVERSE)

**A) TRANSCENDENCE**

**B) CONFIRMATION**

**C) SENSE**




**ANSWER  
KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

# #20) The SCIENTIFIC WORLD VIEW NECESSARILY DEAD ENDS in QUESTIONS THAT HAVE NO \_\_\_\_\_

- A) POINT
- B) RELEVANCE
- C) ANSWER




**ANSWER  
KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#21) "The HORRID \_\_\_\_\_ ALWAYS ARISES WHETHER the CONVICTIONS of MAN'S MINDS are of ANY VALUE or AT ALL TRUSTWORTHY." – Charles Darwin

- **A) DOUBT**
- **B) CERTAINTY**
- **C) POSSIBILITY**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#22) WOULD ANYONE \_\_\_\_\_ the  
CONVICTION of a MONKEY'S MIND, IF  
THERE ARE ANY CONVICTIONS in SUCH  
a MIND – Charles Darwin

A) TRICK

B) TEST

C) TRUST



**ANSWER**

**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design


#23) "IF MY MIND is NO MORE THAN a SUPERIOR MONKEY, I CANNOT EVEN BE SURE THAT MY OWN THEORY of MY OWN \_\_\_\_\_ CAN BE TRUSTED." – James Sire

A) REALITY

B) ORIGIN

C) PHILOSOPHY

**ANSWER**  
**KEY**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#24) IF DARWIN'S NATURALISM is TRUE,  
THERE is NO WAY of EVEN  
ESTABLISHING ITS CREDIBILITY, LET  
ALONE PROVING IT.  
CONFIDENCE in \_\_\_\_\_ is RULED OUT.

A) PHILOSOPHY

**B) LOGIC**

C) RELIGION




BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#25) CAN A BRAIN, a DEVICE that CAME to be THROUGH NATURAL SELECTION and CHANCED MUTATIONS ... ACTUALLY *KNOW* a PROPOSITION to be \_\_\_\_\_.

- A) POSSIBLE
- B) FALSE
- **C) TRUE**



**ANSWER**  
**KEY**


BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#26) IF OUR \_\_\_\_\_ CAME ABOUT THROUGH a RANDOM PROCESS of NOTHINGNESS, WHY SHOULD WE TRUST IT?

**A) BRAINS**

**B) SENSES**

**C) FEARS**



**ANSWER**

**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#27) WE THUS END in an \_\_\_\_\_  
PARADOX – NATURALISTS FIND THEY  
CAN PLACE NO CONFIDENCE IN THEIR  
HUMAN ABILITY to “KNOW”

- A) ICONIC
- **B) IRONIC**
- C) IL-LOGICAL




**ANSWER**  
**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

28) NATURALISM PLACES HUMANITY in a BOX .. BUT FOR US TO HAVE ANY CONFIDENCE to KNOW WE ARE in a BOX is TRUE, WE NEED to STAND \_\_\_\_\_ the BOX to PROVIDE US THAT INFORMATION

- **A) OUTSIDE**
- **B) INSIDE**
- **C) IGNORING**



**ANSWER  
KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#29) HOW DO WE \_\_\_\_\_ THAT OUR  
INNER SUBJECTIVE THOUGHTS are REAL  
and TRUE, of “OBJECTIVE” VALUE”?

- **A) KNOW**
- **B) HYPOTHESIZE**
- **C) THEORIZE**



**ANSWER**

**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#30) \_\_\_\_\_ CLAIM REASONED  
“OBJECTIVITY” to SUPPORT a  
“SUBJECTIVE” (opinion) WORLDVIEW  
THEY CLAIM is OBJECTIVE



**A) NATURALISTS**

**B) THEISTS (God Believers)**

**C) AGNOSTICS (not know-ers)**

**ANSWER**

**KEY**


BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#31) NATURALISTS TELL US WITH OBJECTIVE and UNIVERSAL \_\_\_\_\_ THAT ONLY "INSIDE" the SUBJECTIVE and COSMOLIGICAL "BOX" is REAL "CLOSET" OBJECTIVITY ("\_\_\_\_\_")

A) DISDAIN ... OPINION

**B) CERTAINTY ... TRUTH**

C) CYNICISM ...



**ANSWER**  
**KEY**


BLINDED by SCIENTISM 3  
Random Accident vs Intelligent Design

#32) A NEW \_\_\_\_\_ (fundamentalism)  
of "SCIENCE" is NOT DIRECTLY EMPIRICAL,  
OBSERVABLE and/or TESTABLE

A) RELIGION

**B) MYTHOLOGY**

C) BELIEF



**ANSWER**

**KEY**


BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#33) "SCIENCE" is OBSERVABLE and TESTABLE " " —  
"SCIENTISM" is CLOSET, *HIDDEN* PHILOSOPHY (meta-physics)

A) PHILOSOPHY

**B) PHYSICS**

C) SPECULATIONS



**ANSWER**  
**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design





#35) CAN MATERIALISTS EMPIRICALLY \_\_\_\_\_  
the UNIVERSE is "CLOSED"?:  
MATERIALIST CLAIMS GO FROM PHYSICS to  
META-PHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY

- A) ARGUE
- B) PROVE
- C) DEBATE

**ANSWER  
KEY**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#36) "SCIENCE" BECOMES "SCIENTISM" –  
A NEW MYTHOLOGY and/or \_\_\_\_\_

- A) OPINION
- B) BANDWAGON
- **C) FUNDAMENTALism**



**ANSWER**  
**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Bandwagon  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#37) The \_\_\_\_\_ QUESTION is at the HEART of the QUESTION BETWEEN the TRADITIONAL and MODERN WORLDVIEWS – Huston Smith

A) REALITY

ANSWER KEY

B) SOCIOLOGICAL

C) PSYCHOLOGY



simple



complex

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design


#38) IN a "CLOSED" UNIVERSE –  
NATURE \_\_\_\_\_ ITSELF –  
NOTHING is OUTSIDE the BOX of  
REALITY, SO CANNOT BE TRUE



A) CANCELS

**B) EXPLAINS**


C) CONTRADICTS



**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#39) BUT HOW DO WE \_\_\_\_\_ THIS  
WORLDVIEW of a SELF-CONTAINED  
“CLOSED BOX COMPRISED ONLY of  
ONLY MATTER is \_\_\_\_\_



A) ACKNOWLEDGE ... REAL **ANSWER**

**B) KNOW ... TRUE**

C) SPECULATE ... RELEVANT

**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#40) CAN \_\_\_\_\_ DERIVE from \_\_\_\_\_?  
CAN a STREAM RUN HIGHER THAN ITS  
SOURCE? LIFE from NON-LIFE;  
SENTIENCE from NON-SENTIENCE;  
INTELLIGENCE from what LACKS IT.



**A) SOMETHING ... NOTHING**

**B) NOTHING ... NOTHING**

**C) BELIEF ... DIS-BELIEF**

**ANSWER  
KEY**


BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#41)"A THEISTIC WORLDVIEW is SO WIDESPREAD, IT is the GREATEST \_\_\_\_\_ EVER to APPEAR in HISTORY ... OR the MOST \_\_\_\_\_ REFLECTION of REALITY to HAVE APPEARED" – Ken Wilbur

A) MISTAKE ... FLAWED

B) ASSUMPTION ... MISGUIDED

**C) ERROR ... ACCURATE**



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#42) "SCIENCE" ONLY UNDERSTANDS  
measured MATERIAL CAUSES –  
*WHATEVER* CANNOT BE REDUCED to  
MATERIAL CAUSES MUST BE \_\_\_\_\_.

A) ELIMINATED

**B) IGNORED**

C) MANIPULATED




**ANSWER**

**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

#43) DAVID BERLINSKI TURNS the  
SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY'S CHERISHED  
\_\_\_\_\_ BACK ON ITSELF;  
HAS ANYONE PROVIDED a  
PROOF of GOD's \_\_\_\_\_?



**A) SKEPTICISM ... IN-EXISTENCE**

B) HONESTY ... TRUTH

**ANSWER**

C) CYNICISM ... IR-RELEVANCE **KEY**

#44) DARWINIAN MATERIALISTS HAVE  
\_\_\_\_\_ “REALITY” to  
THEIR WORLDVIEW, STACKING the  
[intellectual] DECK of ARGUMENT.

- A) MIS-REPRESENTED
- **B) LIMITED**
- C) DISTORTED

**ANSWER**

**KEY**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



#45) MORE and MORE "EXPERTS" HAVE  
\_\_\_\_\_ DARWINISM ...

ON STRICTLY \_\_\_\_\_ GOUNDS ...

- Wolfgang Smith

A) EMBRACED ... RELIGIOUS **ANSWER**

B) EMBRACED ... LOGICAL **KEY**


**C) ABANDONED ... SCIENTIFIC**

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

BIOLOGY is the STUDY of  
*COMPLICATED* THINGS that GIVE  
the APPEARANCE of HAVING  
BEEN *DESIGNED* for a PURPOSE”

– Richard Dawkins

HOW DOES DAWKINS KNOW IT is  
JUST “APPEARANCE”  
IS APPEARANCE “REALITY”?



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

RICHARD DAWKINS DENIES “INTELLIGENT DESIGN”  
BECAUSE IT IS “STUPID” – THE LIKELIHOOD OF GOD  
EXISTING IS ABOUT THE SAME AS  
FAIRIES, ELVES AND OTHER FANTASTICAL CREATURES



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

FAITH is NOT a LEAP in the DARK.  
IT'S the EXACT OPPOSITE. IT'S a  
COMMITMENT BASED ON EVIDENCE. IT'S  
IR-RATIONAL to REDUCE ALL FAITH to BLIND  
FAITH and THEN SUBJECT it to RIDICULE.  
THAT PROVIDES a VERY ANTI-INTELLECTUAL  
and CONVENIENT WAY of AVOIDING  
INTELLIGENT DISCUSSION. - John Lennox

SCIENTISM for YOU is WHERE YOU  
SWEEP AWAY ALL the MYSTERIES of the  
WORLD, ALL the CHALLENGES to  
YOUR INTELLIGENCE.

YOU SIMPLY TURN YOUR MIND OFF  
and SAY 'SCIENTISM' DID IT.

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design

IF LABORATORY SCIENCE CANNOT  
ESTABLISH a \_\_\_\_\_ and IF  
the FOSSIL RECORD CANNOT \_\_\_\_\_  
COMMON ANCESTORS of  
MISSING LINKS ....  
THEN DARWINISM \_\_\_\_\_  
as an EMPIRICAL THEORY ...  
- Phillip E. Johnson



BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design



# The END

CONTINUE

NEXT

TO

ANSWER

KEY

BLINDED by  
SCIENTISM 3  
Random  
Accident  
vs Intelligent  
Design